

August 20, 2018

Ms. Casey Howard, M.B.A., S.P.H.R. Human Resources and Risk Manager City of Winter Springs 1126 East State Road 434 Winter Springs, Florida 32708

Re: City of Winter Springs Defined Benefit Plan
October 1, 2017 Chapter 112.664 Compliance Report

Dear Casey:

As requested, we are pleased to enclose the October 1, 2017 Chapter 112.664 Compliance Report for the City of Winter Springs Defined Benefit Plan (Plan).

As required, we will timely upload the required data to the State's online portal.

Please note we understand the following items must be posted on the Plan's website and must be posted on any website containing budget information relating to the City or actuarial or performance information relating to the Plan:

- this compliance report
- most recent financial statement
- most recent actuarial valuation report
- a link to the Division of Retirement Actuarial Summary Fact Sheet

 http://www.dms.myflorida.com/workforce_operations/retirement/local_retirement_plans/local_ret
 irement_section/actuarial_summary_fact_sheets
- for the previous five years a side-by-side comparison of the Plan's assumed rate of return compared to the actual rate of return as well as the percentages of cash, equity, bond and alternative investments in the Plan portfolio
- the Plan's funded ratio as determined in the most recent actuarial valuation 82.3% on a market value of assets basis as of October 1, 2017

We appreciate the opportunity to work with the Board on this important assignment.

If you should have any questions concerning the above, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerest regards,

Lawrence F. Wilson, A.S.A. Senior Consultant and Actuary

Enclosures

City of Winter Springs Defined Benefit Plan

CHAPTER 112.664, F.S. COMPLIANCE REPORT

In Connection with the October 1, 2017 Funding Actuarial Valuation Report and the Plan's Financial Reporting for Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2017









August 20, 2018

Board of Trustees c/o Ms. Casey Howard, M.B.A., S.P.H.R. Human Resources and Risk Manager City of Winter Springs Defined Benefit Plan 1126 East State Road 434 Winter Springs, Florida 32708

Re: October 1, 2017 Chapter 112.664 Compliance Report

Dear Board Members:

Gabriel, Roeder, Smith & Company (GRS) has been engaged by the Board of Trustees (Board) of the City of Winter Springs Defined Benefit Plan (Plan) to prepare a disclosure report to satisfy the requirements set forth in Chapter 112.664, F.S. and as further required pursuant to Chapter 60T-1.0035, F.A.C.

This report was prepared at the request of the Board and is intended for use by the Board and those designated or approved by the Board. This report may be provided to parties other than the Board only in its entirety and only with the permission of the Board.

The purpose of the report is to provide the required information specified in Chapter 112.664, F.S. and to supplement this information with additional exhibits. This report should not be relied on for any purpose other than the purpose described above.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: Plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status); and changes in Plan provisions or applicable law. The scope of this engagement does not include an analysis of the potential range of such measurements.

This report is based upon information furnished by the City and the Board concerning Plan benefits, Plan provisions and Plan members as used in the corresponding Actuarial Valuation Reports for the Valuation Dates indicated. Financial information was provided by the City and Board as of September 30, 2017. We reviewed the information provided for internal and year-to-year consistency, but did not audit the data. The Plan is responsible for the accuracy of the data.

Board of Trustees August 20, 2018 Page Two

Except where specific assumptions are required by Chapter 112.664, F.S, this report was prepared using actuarial assumptions adopted by the Board as described in Section C. The economic and demographic actuarial assumptions reflect the results of an Experience Study for the five-year period October 1, 2011 – September 30, 2016. The mortality assumptions are prescribed by statute. Each assumption represents an estimate of future Plan experience.

The investment return assumption of 2% higher than the investment return assumption utilized in the Actuarial Valuation Report does not represent an estimate of future Plan experience nor an observation of the estimates inherent in market data. This assumption is provided as a counterpart to the Chapter 112.664, F.S. requirement to utilize an investment return assumption of 2% lower than the investment return assumption utilized in the Actuarial Valuation Report. The inclusion of the additional 2% higher assumption shows a more complete assessment of the range of potential results as opposed to the *one-sided* range required by statute.

If all actuarial assumptions are met and if all current and future minimum required contributions are paid, Plan assets will be sufficient to pay all Plan benefits, future contributions are expected to remain relatively stable as a percentage of payroll and the funded status of the Plan is expected to improve. Plan minimum required contributions are determined in compliance with the requirements of the Florida Protection of Public Employee Retirement Benefits Act with normal cost determined as a level percent of covered payroll and a level dollar amortization payment using an initial closed amortization period of 30 years.

The Plan's funded ratio as of October 1, 2017 is 82.3% defined as the ratio of the market value of Plan assets to the actuarial accrued liability.

The Plan's funded ratio and the GASB Net Pension Liability may not be appropriate for assessing the sufficiency of Plan assets to meet the estimated cost of settling benefit obligations but may be appropriate for assessing the need for or the amount of future contributions.

The undersigned are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinions contained herein. The signing actuaries are independent of the Plan sponsor.

This report has been prepared by actuaries who have substantial experience valuing public employee retirement systems. To the best of our knowledge the information contained in this report is accurate and presents the actuarial position of the Plan as of the valuation date as required by statute. All calculations have been made in conformity with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices, with the Actuarial Standards of Practice issued by the Actuarial Standards Board and with applicable statutes.



Board of Trustees August 20, 2018 Page Three

With respect to the reporting standards for defined benefit retirement plans or systems contained in Section 112.664(1), F.S., the actuarial disclosures required under this section were prepared and completed by me or under my direct supervision and I acknowledge responsibility for the results. To the best of my knowledge, the results are complete and accurate, and in my opinion, meet the requirements of Section 112.664(1), F.S., and Section 60T-1.0035, F.A.C.

Respectfully submitted,

GABRIEL, ROEDER, SMITH AND COMPANY

2. J. Wilson

Lawrence F. Wilson, M.A.A.A Enrolled Actuary No. 17-02802

Senior Consultant & Actuary

Date: August 20, 2018

Jennifer M. Borregard, M.A.A.A Enrolled Actuary No. 17-07624

Consultant & Actuary





TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
Α	Chapter 112.664, F.S. Results	
	 Net Pension Liability Using financial reporting assumptions per GASB Statement No. 67 and No. 68 Using assumptions required under Section 112.664(1)(a), F.S. Using assumptions required under Section 112.664(1)(b), F.S. Using assumptions required under Section 112.664(1)(a), F.S. plus 2% 	1 2 3 4
	 Asset and Benefit Payments Projection Using financial reporting assumptions per GASB Statement No. 67 and No. 68 using assumptions required under Section 112.664(1)(a), F.S. Using assumptions required under Section 112.664(1)(b), F.S. Using assumptions required under Section 112.664(1)(a), F.S. plus 2% 	and 5 6 7
	Actuarially Determined Contribution	8
	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities Bases and Amortization Payments	9
В	Summary of Plan Provisions	10
С	Actuarial Assumptions and Cost Methods Used for Funding	13
D	Glossary	21



SECTION A

CHAPTER 112.664, F.S. RESULTS

Net Pension Liability Using Financial Reporting Assumptions per GASB Statements No. 67 and No. 68

	Measurement Date	Sep	tember 30, 2017
A.	Total Pension Liability (TPL)		
	Service Cost	\$	697,459
	Interest		4,229,901
	Benefit Changes		0
	Difference Between Actual and Expected Experience		(592,087)
	Assumption Changes		526,115
	Benefit Payments		(2,679,408)
	Other		0_
	Net Change in Total Pension Liability	\$	2,181,980
	Total Pension Liability (TPL) - (beginning of year)		53,581,984
	Total Pension Liability (TPL) - (end of year)	\$	55,763,964
В.	Plan Fiduciary Net Position		
	Contributions - County and City	\$	2,605,753
	Contributions - Member		342,209
	Net Investment Income		5,851,493
	Benefit Payments		(2,679,408)
	Administrative Expenses		(55,697)
	Other		0
	Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position	\$	6,064,350
	Plan Fiduciary Net Position - (beginning of year)		42,027,234
	Plan Fiduciary Net Position - (end of year)	\$	48,091,584
C.	Net Pension Liability (NPL) - (end of year): (A) - (B)	\$	7,672,380
	Valuation Date		October 1, 2016

Certain Key Assumptions

Investment Return Assumption

Mortality Table:

Firefighter and Police Officer participants: For healthy participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Healthy Participant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For disabled male participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table setback four years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table set forward two years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. General Employee participants: For healthy male participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Male Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Female Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy male participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For disabled male participants, RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table, set back four years, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table, set forward two years, without projected mortality improvements.



8%

Net Pension Liability Using Assumptions Required Under 112.664(1)(a), F.S.

	Measurement Date	Sept	tember 30, 2017
A.	Total Pension Liability (TPL)		
	Service Cost	\$	697,459
	Interest		4,229,901
	Benefit Changes		0
	Difference Between Actual and Expected Experience		(624,768)
	Assumption Changes		120,306
	Benefit Payments		(2,679,408)
	Other		0
	Net Change in Total Pension Liability	\$	1,743,490
	Total Pension Liability (TPL) - (beginning of year)		54,020,474
	Total Pension Liability (TPL) - (end of year)	\$	55,763,964
В.	Plan Fiduciary Net Position		
	Contributions - County and City	\$	2,605,753
	Contributions - Member		342,209
	Net Investment Income		5,851,493
	Benefit Payments		(2,679,408)
	Administrative Expenses		(55,697)
	Other		0
	Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position	\$	6,064,350
	Plan Fiduciary Net Position - (beginning of year)		42,027,234
	Plan Fiduciary Net Position - (end of year)	\$	48,091,584
C.	Net Pension Liability (NPL) - (end of year): (A) - (B)	\$	7,672,380
	Valuation Date		October 1, 2016

Certain Key Assumptions

Investment Return Assumption

8%

Mortality Table:

Firefighter and Police Officer participants: For healthy participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Healthy Participant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For disabled male participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table setback four years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table set forward two years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. General Employee participants: For healthy male participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Male Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Female Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy male participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For disabled male participants, RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table, set back four years, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table, set forward two years, without projected mortality improvements.



Net Pension Liability Using Assumptions Required Under 112.664(1)(b), F.S.

	Measurement Date	Sept	ember 30, 2017
A.	Total Pension Liability (TPL)		
	Service Cost	\$	1,152,966
	Interest		4,051,706
	Benefit Changes		0
	Difference Between Actual and Expected Experience		(700,880)
	Assumption Changes		432,507
	Benefit Payments		(2,679,408)
	Other		0
	Net Change in Total Pension Liability	\$	2,256,891
	Total Pension Liability (TPL) - (beginning of year)		67,983,550
	Total Pension Liability (TPL) - (end of year)	\$	70,240,441
В.	Plan Fiduciary Net Position		
	Contributions - County and City	\$	2,605,753
	Contributions - Member		342,209
	Net Investment Income		5,851,493
	Benefit Payments		(2,679,408)
	Administrative Expenses		(55,697)
	Other		0
	Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position	\$	6,064,350
	Plan Fiduciary Net Position - (beginning of year)		42,027,234
	Plan Fiduciary Net Position - (end of year)	\$	48,091,584
C.	Net Pension Liability (NPL) - (end of year): (A) - (B)	\$	22,148,857
	Valuation Date		October 1, 2016

Certain Key Assumptions

Investment Return Assumption

6%

Mortality Table:

Firefighter and Police Officer participants: For healthy participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Healthy Participant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For disabled male participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table setback four years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table set forward two years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. General Employee participants: For healthy male participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Male Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Female Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy male participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For disabled male participants, RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table, set back four years, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table, set forward two years, without projected mortality improvements.



Net Pension Liability Using Assumptions Required Under 112.664(1)(a), F.S. Plus 2% on Investment Return Assumption

	Measurement Date	Sept	ember 30, 2017
A.	Total Pension Liability (TPL)		
	Service Cost	\$	436,334
	Interest		4,260,235
	Benefit Changes		0
	Difference Between Actual and Expected Experience		(543,673)
	Assumption Changes		(9,148)
	Benefit Payments		(2,679,408)
	Other		0
	Net Change in Total Pension Liability	\$	1,464,340
	Total Pension Liability (TPL) - (beginning of year)		44,058,545
	Total Pension Liability (TPL) - (end of year)	\$	45,522,885
В.	Plan Fiduciary Net Position		
	Contributions - County and City	\$	2,605,753
	Contributions - Member		342,209
	Net Investment Income		5,851,493
	Benefit Payments		(2,679,408)
	Administrative Expenses		(55,697)
	Other		0
	Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position	\$	6,064,350
	Plan Fiduciary Net Position - (beginning of year)		42,027,234
	Plan Fiduciary Net Position - (end of year)	\$	48,091,584
C.	Net Pension Liability (NPL) - (end of year): (A) - (B)	\$	(2,568,699)
	Valuation Date		October 1, 2016

Certain Key Assumptions

Investment Return Assumption

10%

Mortality Table:

Firefighter and Police Officer participants: For healthy participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Healthy Participant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For disabled male participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table setback four years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table set forward two years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. General Employee participants: For healthy male participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Male Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Female Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy male participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For disabled male participants, RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table, set back four years, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table, set forward two years, without projected mortality improvements.



Asset and Benefit Payment Projection Not Reflecting Any Future Contributions

<u>Using Financial Reporting Assumptions per GASB Statements No. 67 and No. 68</u> and <u>Using Assumptions Required Under 112.664(1)(a), F.S.</u>

	Market Value of	Expected	Projected Benefit	Market Value of
FYE	Assets (BOY)	Investment Return	Payments	Assets (EOY)
2018	\$ 48,091,584	\$ 3,601,678	\$ 3,022,125	\$ 48,671,137
2019	48,671,137	3,630,634	3,406,674	48,895,097
2020	48,895,097	3,638,997	3,623,414	48,910,680
2021	48,910,680	3,633,331	3,789,038	48,754,973
2022	48,754,973	3,611,432	4,025,942	48,340,463
2023	48,340,463	3,569,249	4,268,307	47,641,405
2024	47,641,405	3,510,099	4,388,133	46,763,371
2025	46,763,371	3,435,426	4,547,786	45,651,011
2026	45,651,011	3,344,130	4,670,378	44,324,763
2027	44,324,763	3,237,149	4,771,520	42,790,392
2028	42,790,392	3,114,598	4,859,163	41,045,827
2029	41,045,827	2,975,779	4,946,267	39,075,339
2030	39,075,339	2,821,125	4,993,034	36,903,430
2031	36,903,430	2,651,011	5,036,192	34,518,249
2032	34,518,249	2,465,243	5,058,288	31,925,204
2033	31,925,204	2,263,529	5,076,425	29,112,308
2034	29,112,308	2,046,559	5,051,622	26,107,245
2035	26,107,245	1,815,079	5,017,598	22,904,726
2036	22,904,726	1,569,545	4,953,462	19,520,809
2037	19,520,809	1,307,874	4,939,428	15,889,255
2038	15,889,255	1,028,012	4,901,275	12,015,992
2039	12,015,992	730,786	4,830,144	7,916,634
2040	7,916,634	416,921	4,737,733	3,595,822
2041	3,595,822	97,082	4,621,757	-
2042	-	-	4,506,167	-
2043	-	-	4,375,582	-
2044	-	-	4,242,289	-
2045	-	-	4,108,766	-
2046	-	-	3,962,396	-
2047	-	-	3,805,205	-

Number of years for which current market value of assets are adequate to sustain the payment of expected retirement benefits reflecting no contributions from the City, County or Members:

23.75

Certain Key Assumptions

Investment return assumption

7.75%

Mortality Table:

Firefighter and Police Officer participants: For healthy participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Healthy Participant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For disabled male participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table setback four years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table set forward two years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. General Employee participants: For healthy male participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Male Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Female Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy male participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For disabled male participants, RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table, set back four years, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table, set forward two years, without projected mortality improvements.

Note: As required in Section 112.664(c) of the Florida Statutes, the projection of Plan assets does not include future contributions from the City, County or Members. For this reason, this projection should not be viewed as representative of the amount of time the Plan can sustain benefit payments. Under the Government Accounting Standards Board standards which include City, County and Member contributions, the Plan is expected to be able to pay all future benefit payments.



Asset and Benefit Payment Projection Not Reflecting Any Future Contributions Using Assumptions Required Under 112.664(1)(b), F.S.

	Market Value of	Expected	Projected Benefit	Market Value of
FYE	Assets (BOY)	Investment Return	Payments	Assets (EOY)
2018	\$ 48,091,584	\$ 2,671,943	\$ 3,022,125	\$ 47,741,402
2019	47,741,402	2,639,933	3,406,674	46,974,661
2020	46,974,661	2,589,153	3,623,414	45,940,400
2021	45,940,400	2,524,568	3,789,038	44,675,930
2022	44,675,930	2,444,546	4,025,942	43,094,534
2023	43,094,534	2,346,131	4,268,307	41,172,358
2024	41,172,358	2,231,906	4,388,133	39,016,131
2025	39,016,131	2,102,993	4,547,786	36,571,338
2026	36,571,338	1,958,632	4,670,378	33,859,592
2027	33,859,592	1,799,583	4,771,520	30,887,655
2028	30,887,655	1,625,990	4,859,163	27,654,482
2029	27,654,482	1,437,393	4,946,267	24,145,608
2030	24,145,608	1,234,188	4,993,034	20,386,762
2031	20,386,762	1,016,722	5,036,192	16,367,292
2032	16,367,292	784,920	5,058,288	12,093,924
2033	12,093,924	538,642	5,076,425	7,556,141
2034	7,556,141	278,485	5,051,622	2,783,004
2035	2,783,004	37,568	5,017,598	-
2036	-	-	4,953,462	-
2037	-	-	4,939,428	-
2038	-	-	4,901,275	-
2039	-	-	4,830,144	-
2040	-	-	4,737,733	-
2041	-	-	4,621,757	-
2042	-	-	4,506,167	-
2043	-	-	4,375,582	-
2044	-	-	4,242,289	-
2045	-	-	4,108,766	-
2046	-	-	3,962,396	-
2047	-	-	3,805,205	-

Number of years for which current market value of assets are adequate to sustain the payment of expected retirement benefits reflecting no contributions from the City, County or Members:

17.50

Certain Key Assumptions

Investment return assumption

5.75%

Mortality Table:

Firefighter and Police Officer participants: For healthy participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Healthy Participant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For disabled male participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table setback four years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table set forward two years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. General Employee participants: For healthy male participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Male Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Female Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy male participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For disabled male participants, RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table, set back four years, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table, set forward two years, without projected mortality improvements.

Note: As required in Section 112.664(c) of the Florida Statutes, the projection of Plan assets does not include future contributions from the City, County or Members. For this reason, this projection should not be viewed as representative of the amount of time the Plan can sustain benefit payments. Under the Government Accounting Standards Board standards which include City, County and Member contributions, the Plan is expected to be able to pay all future benefit payments.



Asset and Benefit Payment Projection Not Reflecting Any Future Contributions

Using Assumptions Required Under 112.664(1)(a), F.S. Plus 2% on Investment Return Assumption

	Market Value of	Expected	Projected Benefit	Market Value of
FYE	Assets (BOY)	Investment Return	Payments	Assets (EOY)
2018	\$ 48,091,584	\$ 4,531,592	\$ 3,022,125	\$ 49,601,051
2019	49,601,051	4,658,744	3,406,674	50,853,121
2020	50,853,121	4,769,537	3,623,414	51,999,244
2021	51,999,244	4,872,662	3,789,038	53,082,868
2022	53,082,868	4,965,981	4,025,942	54,022,907
2023	54,022,907	5,045,017	4,268,307	54,799,617
2024	54,799,617	5,114,508	4,388,133	55,525,992
2025	55,525,992	5,177,018	4,547,786	56,155,224
2026	56,155,224	5,231,985	4,670,378	56,716,831
2027	56,716,831	5,281,476	4,771,520	57,226,787
2028	57,226,787	5,326,634	4,859,163	57,694,258
2029	57,694,258	5,367,678	4,946,267	58,115,669
2030	58,115,669	5,406,331	4,993,034	58,528,966
2031	58,528,966	5,444,380	5,036,192	58,937,154
2032	58,937,154	5,483,028	5,058,288	59,361,894
2033	59,361,894	5,523,496	5,076,425	59,808,965
2034	59,808,965	5,568,377	5,051,622	60,325,720
2035	60,325,720	5,620,532	5,017,598	60,928,654
2036	60,928,654	5,682,657	4,953,462	61,657,849
2037	61,657,849	5,754,484	4,939,428	62,472,905
2038	62,472,905	5,835,938	4,901,275	63,407,568
2039	63,407,568	5,930,771	4,830,144	64,508,195
2040	64,508,195	6,042,893	4,737,733	65,813,355
2041	65,813,355	6,176,184	4,621,757	67,367,782
2042	67,367,782	6,333,759	4,506,167	69,195,374
2043	69,195,374	6,518,748	4,375,582	71,338,540
2044	71,338,540	6,734,646	4,242,289	73,830,897
2045	73,830,897	6,984,602	4,108,766	76,706,733
2046	76,706,733	7,272,616	3,962,396	80,016,953
2047	80,016,953	7,603,547	3,805,205	83,815,295

Number of years for which current market value of assets are adequate to sustain the payment of expected retirement benefits reflecting no contributions from the City, County or Members:

99.99

Certain Key Assumptions

Investment return assumption

9.75%

Mortality Table:

Firefighter and Police Officer participants: For healthy participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Healthy Participant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For disabled male participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table setback four years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table set forward two years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. General Employee participants: For healthy male participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Male Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Female Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy male participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For disabled male participants, RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table, set back four years, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table, set forward two years, without projected mortality improvements.

Note: As required in Section 112.664(c) of the Florida Statutes, the projection of Plan assets does not include future contributions from the City, County or Members. For this reason, this projection should not be viewed as representative of the amount of time the Plan can sustain benefit payments. Under the Government Accounting Standards Board standards which include City, County and Member contributions, the Plan is expected to be able to pay all future benefit payments.



AC	TUARIALLY DETERMINED CON	TRIBUTION	
	Valuation Assumptions and 112.664(1)(a), F.S Assumptions	112.664(1)(b), F.S. Assumptions	112.664(1)(a), F.S. Assumptions Plus 2% on Investment Return Assumption
A. Valuation Date	October 1, 2017	October 1, 2017	October 1, 2017
B. Actuarial Determined Contribution to Be Paid During Fiscal Year Ending	September 30, 2019	September 30, 2019	September 30, 2019
C. Annual Payroll of Active Employees	\$ 5,537,207	\$ 5,537,207	\$ 5,537,207
D. Total Minimum Funding Requirement1. Total Normal Cost2. Annual Payment to Amortize Unfunded	\$ 558,364	\$ 934,505	\$ 359,311
Actuarial Liability 3. Interest Adjustment	1,126,303 76,691	2,019,494 101,971	246,743 32,374
 4. Total Minimum Funding Requirement E. Expected Payroll of Active Employees for Following Plan Year (\$ / % of pay) (C x 1.000) 	\$ 1,761,358 \$ 5,537,207 100.00%	\$ 3,055,970 \$ 5,537,207 100.00%	\$ 638,428 \$ 5,537,207 100.00%
F. Expected Contribution Sources (\$ / % of pay) 1. County and City 2. Member	\$ 1,484,498 26.81% 276,860 5.00%	\$ 2,779,110 50.19% 276,860 5.00%	\$ 361,568 6.53% 276,860 5.00%
3. Total	\$ 1,761,358 31.81%	\$ 3,055,970 55.19%	\$ 638,428 11.53%



<u>Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities Bases and Amortization Payments</u>

				Amortization Paym	nent	_
		Current	Valuation and			Remaining
		Unfunded	112.664(1)(a), F.S.	112.664(1)(b), F.S.	112.664(1)(a), F.S.	Funding
	Amortization Base	<u>Liabilities</u>	<u>Assumptions</u>	<u>Assumptions</u>	Assumptions Plus 2%	<u>Period</u>
10/01/2000		\$ 1,379,722	\$ 159,788	\$ 145,235	\$ 174,694	13 years
10/01/2002	Assumption Change	(18,683)	(1,995)	(1,789)	(2,206)	15 years
10/01/2003	Plan Amendment	120,740	12,458	11,105	13,853	16 years
10/01/2004	Plan Amendment	186,510	18,661	16,532	20,859	17 years
10/01/2005	Plan Amendment	392,858	38,232	33,669	42,948	18 years
10/01/2006	Plan Amendment	458,493	43,514	38,100	49,118	19 years
10/01/2007	Plan Amendment	475,275	44,093	38,392	50,001	20 years
10/01/2008	Plan Amendment and Assumption Change	2,158,177	196,135	169,850	223,393	21 years
10/01/2008	Method Change	4,823,844	438,391	379,639	499,317	21 years
10/01/2009	Actuarial Loss / (Gain)	2,083,814	185,854	160,103	212,577	22 years
10/01/2010	Actuarial Loss / (Gain)	(388,788)	(34,087)	(29,215)	(39,146)	23 years
10/01/2010	Plan Amendment	(2,254,095)	(197,630)	(169,382)	(226,958)	23 years
10/01/2011	Actuarial Loss / (Gain)	2,485,830	214,568	182,994	247,360	24 years
10/01/2012	Actuarial Loss / (Gain)	845,563	71,950	61,071	83,252	25 years
10/01/2013	Actuarial Loss / (Gain)	(663,397)	(55,716)	(47,074)	(64,694)	26 years
10/01/2014	Actuarial Loss / (Gain)	(710,839)	(58,989)	(49,617)	(68,724)	27 years
10/01/2014	Assumption Change	6,676	554	466	645	27 years
10/01/2015	Actuarial Loss / (Gain)	(287,048)	(23,560)	(19,732)	(27,536)	28 years
10/01/2016	Actuarial Loss / (Gain)	(1,383,956)	(112,450)	(93,786)	(131,825)	29 years
10/01/2016	Assumption Change	479,703	38,977	32,508	45,693	29 years
10/01/2017	Actuarial Loss / (Gain)	(698,660)	(56,243)	(46,720)	(66,125)	30 years
10/01/2017	Assumption Change	2,531,601	203,798	169,291	239,604	30 years
10/01/2017	Assumption Change - 112.664(1)(b), F.S. Assumptions	15,520,212	N/A	1,037,854	N/A	30 years
10/01/2017	Assumption Change - 112.664(1)(a), F.S. Assumptions Plus 2%	(10,875,932)	N/A	N/A	(1,029,357)	30 years



SECTION B

SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

Outline of Principal Provisions of the Retirement Plan (as of October 1, 2017)

A. Effective Date

Plan adopted as a Money Purchase Floor Offset plan on October 1, 1997. Plan amended and restated as a Defined Benefit Plan effective October 1, 2000. Plan most recently amended by Resolution 2017-10 adopted November 13, 2017.

B. Eligibility Requirements

General Employees hired prior to October 1, 2011, Police Officers and Forensic Professionals working 30 or more hours per week are eligible to join the Plan on the first day of the month following completion of six (6) months of service. Electing transferring Firefighters as of October 2, 2008 under the Agreement with the County.

C. Accrual Service

Years of Accrual Service are any Plan Years during which an Employee completes at least 1,000 hours of service, including years of service completed prior to participation in the Plan.

D. Compensation

Wages, salaries and other amounts received (whether or not paid in cash) for personal services actually rendered in the course of employment. Effective October 10, 2011 Compensation shall exclude commissions, bonuses, overtime pay in excess of one hundred fifty (150) hours per Plan year and payments for accrued leave in excess of the dollar amount of an Employee's accrued leave balance on July 1, 2011.

E. Final Average Compensation

Average earnings during the best five (5) consecutive years out of the last ten (10) years preceding termination or retirement, but not less than the three (3) highest consecutive compensation periods during employment with the City as of September 30, 2011.

F. Normal Retirement

1. Eligibility:

- (a) Attainment of age 65; or
- (b) Completion of 30 years of service and determined to be disabled under the City's long term disability insurance policy.



Outline of Principal Provisions of the Retirement Plan (as of October 1, 2017)

2. Benefit:

For Firefighters, Police Officers and Forensic Professionals, 3.00% times Final Average Compensation multiplied by Accrual Service, up to a maximum of 30 years.

For General Employees, 3.00% times Accrual Service earned through September 30, 2011 times Final Average Compensation plus 2.50% times Accrual Service earned after September 30, 2011 times Final Average Compensation, up to a maximum of 30 years of Accrual Service.

G. Early Retirement

1. Eligibility:

- (a) Attainment of age 55 and completion of 15 years of service; or
- (b) Completion of 25 years of service.

2. Benefit:

Benefit accrued to date of early retirement, actuarially reduced for each year early retirement benefit commencement precedes age 55. A participant as of September 30, 2011 who attains age 55 and completes 10 or more years of service but less than 15 years of service may receive the accrued benefit as of September 30, 2011 payable without actuarial reduction plus the accrued benefit earned after September 30, 2011 payable with actuarial reduction from normal retirement date.

H. Late Retirement

1. Eligibility:

Continued employment beyond Normal Retirement Date.

2. Benefit:

Greater of (a) and (b):

- (a) Accrued benefit calculated as for Normal Retirement based upon service and pay at Late Retirement Date.
- (b) Actuarially increased benefit as of Late Retirement Date.

I. Disability Retirement

1. Eligibility:

Completion of 30 years of service and determined to be disabled under the City's long term disability insurance policy.

2. Benefit:

Accrued benefit calculated as for Normal Retirement based upon service and pay at Disability Retirement Date.



Outline of Principal Provisions of the Retirement Plan (as of October 1, 2017)

J. Death Benefit

Beneficiary entitled to a monthly benefit supported by the present value of the non-forfeitable accrued benefit at the time of the participant's death. If death occurs after actual retirement, the beneficiary receives whatever is payable under the form of benefit option elected.

K. Participant Contributions

Five percent (5%) of compensation for all employees.

L. Vested Benefit Upon Termination

100% vested in required participant contributions. Participant contributions made after October 1, 2000 are included in the deferred vested benefit payable at normal or early retirement date.

Upon termination of service prior to normal or early retirement date a participant shall be entitled to a benefit payable at normal or early retirement date calculated as for normal retirement. Based on pay and service at date of termination multiplied by a percentage from the following table.

Years of Service	Vested Percentage
Less Than 7	0%
7 or More	100%

M. Normal Form of Payment of Retirement Income

Monthly benefit payable for life.

Other Options

Actuarially equivalent joint and survivor at 50%, 75%, 100%; or ten (10) years certain and life.

N. Changes Since Previous Valuation

None.



SECTION C

ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND COST METHODS USED FOR FUNDING

A. Mortality

Firefighter and Police Officer participants:

For healthy participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Healthy Participant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB.

For healthy participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Mortality Tables, separate rates for males and females, with 90% Blue Collar Adjustment / 10% White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB.

For disabled male participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table setback four years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, 60% RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table set forward two years / 40% RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table with White Collar Adjustment with no setback, without projected mortality improvements.

	Pre-ret	irement	Post-re	tirement
Sample	Futu	re Life	Futu	re Life
Ages	Expectan	Expectancy (Years)		ıcy (Years)
(2017)	Men	Women	Men	Women
55	29.73	32.50	29.21	32.30
60	24.84	27.46	24.64	27.31
62	22.97	25.50	22.85	25.39
	Pre-ret	irement	Post-re	tirement
Sample	Futu	re Life	Futu	re Life
Ages	Expectan	icy (Years)	Expectar	icy (Years)
(2037)	Men	Women	Men	Women
55	31.96	34.44	31.46	34.27
60	27.11	29.40	26.92	29.27
62	25.23	27.41	25.12	27.33

General Employee participants:

For healthy male participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Male Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants during employment, RP 2000 Combined Female Healthy Participant Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB.



A. Mortality (continued)

For healthy male participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Male Mortality Table, with 50% White Collar / 50% Blue Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB. For healthy female participants post employment, RP 2000 Annuitant Female Mortality Table, with White Collar Adjustment and fully generational mortality improvements projected to each future decrement date with Scale BB.

For disabled male participants, RP 2000 Disabled Male Mortality Table, set back four years, without projected mortality improvements. For disabled female participants, RP 2000 Disabled Female Mortality Table, set forward two years, without projected mortality improvements.

	Pre-ret	irement	Post-re	tirement
Sample	Future Life Expectancy (Years)		Futu	re Life
Ages			Expectancy (Years)	
(2017)	Male	Female	Male	Female
55	30.42	33.47	29.99	33.25
60	25.49	28.45	25.32	28.35
62	23.58	26.49	23.48	26.43
	Pre-ret	irement	Post-re	tirement
Sample	Futu	re Life	Futu	re Life
Ages	Expectan	Expectancy (Years)		ıcy (Years)
(2037)	Male	Female	Male	Female
55	32.57	35.32	32.16	35.12
60	27.67	30.29	27.52	30.21
62	25.76	28.32	25.68	28.26

B. <u>Investment Return</u>

7.75%, compounded annually, net of investment expenses - includes assumed inflation of 2.75%.

C. Allowances for Expenses or Contingencies

Prior year's actual administrative expenses are included in normal cost.

D. Salary Increase Factors

Current salary is assumed to increase at a rate based on the table below per year until retirement - includes assumed wage inflation of 3.0%.

		Forensic Professionals,
	General	Firefighters and
<u>Service</u>	<u>Employees</u>	Police Officers
Less than 5 years	4.50%	4.50%
5 - 14 years	3.25%	3.25%
15 - 20 years	3.00%	3.25%
20+ years	3.00%	3.00%



E. Employee Withdrawal Rates

Withdrawal rates were used in accordance with the following illustrative example.

	General		Forensic Pr	ofessionals,
	Employees		Firefighter	s and Police
<u>Service</u>	Male <u>Female</u>		<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
Less than 5 years	20.5% 15.5%		13.5%	4.0%
5 - 9 years	8.0%	12.0%	9.0%	4.0%
10+ years	4.5%	5.0%	4.5%	4.0%

F. Disability Rates

1. Line-of-duty disability rates for General Employees were used in accordance with the following illustrative example.

<u>Age</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
20	0.000%	0.000%
25	0.001%	0.001%
30	0.001%	0.001%
35	0.001%	0.001%
40	0.001%	0.001%
45	0.004%	0.001%
50	0.006%	0.006%
55	0.006%	0.006%
60	0.010%	0.013%
65	0.010%	0.010%

2. Non-duty disability rates for General Employees were used in accordance with the following illustrative example.

<u>Age</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
20	0.000%	0.000%
25	0.010%	0.010%
30	0.010%	0.010%
35	0.020%	0.010%
40	0.020%	0.020%
45	0.080%	0.060%
50	0.160%	0.100%
55	0.250%	0.160%
60	0.300%	0.260%
65	0.100%	0.080%

The disability assumptions are the disability assumptions used in the July 1, 2017 FRS Actuarial Valuation.



F. <u>Disability Rates (continued)</u>

3. Line-of-duty disability rates for Forensic Professionals, Firefighters and Police Officers were used in accordance with the following illustrative example.

<u>Age</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
20	0.010%	0.000%
25	0.010%	0.004%
30	0.010%	0.004%
35	0.010%	0.004%
40	0.020%	0.040%
45	0.060%	0.040%
50	0.140%	0.050%
55	0.100%	0.080%
60	0.140%	0.150%
65	0.260%	0.150%

4. Non-duty disability rates for Forensic Professionals, Firefighters and Police Officers were used in accordance with the following illustrative example.

<u>Age</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>
20	0.020%	0.000%
25	0.020%	0.020%
30	0.030%	0.020%
35	0.030%	0.030%
40	0.030%	0.030%
45	0.030%	0.060%
50	0.080%	0.110%
55	0.050%	0.110%
60	0.050%	0.110%
65	0.050%	0.110%

The disability assumptions are the disability assumptions used in the July 1, 2017 FRS Actuarial Valuation.



G. Assumed Retirement Age

Retirement rates were used in accordance with the following tables.

1. For Forensic Professionals, Police Officers and Firefighters:

	Years of Service				
<u>Age</u>	<u>0 - 9</u>	<u> 10 - 14</u>	<u> 15 - 24</u>	<u>25 - 29</u>	30 or more
Under 55	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	40.0%
55	0.0%	5.0%	25.0%	70.0%	80.0%
56 - 64	0.0%	5.0%	7.5%	7.5%	10.0%
65 and above	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2. For General Employees:

	Years of Service				
<u>Age</u>	0 - 14 15 - 24 25 or mor				
Under 55	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
55 - 64	4.0%	18.0%	12.0%		
65 and above	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

H. Marital Assumptions

- 1. 100% of active members are assumed to be married.
- 2. Females are assumed to be three (3) years younger than their male spouses.

I. Interest on Future Participant Contributions

3.75%, compounded annually.

J. Asset Valuation Method

The method used for determining the smoothed actuarial value of assets phases in the deviation between the expected and actual return on assets at the rate of 20% per year. The smoothed actuarial value of assets will be further adjusted to the extent necessary to fall within the corridor whose lower limit is 80% of the fair market value of Plan assets and whose upper limit is 120% of the fair market value of Plan assets - adjusted for equation of balance October 1, 2010.

K. Cost Method

Normal Retirement, Termination, Disability, and Death Benefits: Entry Age Normal Cost Method

Under this method the normal cost for each active employee is the amount which is calculated to be a level percentage of pay that would be required annually from his entry age to his assumed retirement age to fund his estimated benefits, assuming the Plan had always been in effect. The normal cost for the Plan is the sum of such amounts for all employees. The actuarial accrued liability as of any valuation date for each active employee or inactive employee who is eligible to receive benefits under the Plan is the excess of the actuarial present value of estimated future benefits over the actuarial present value of current and future normal costs. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability as of any valuation date is the excess of the actuarial accrued liability over the assets of the Plan.



L. Changes Since Previous Valuation

1. Investment Return was:

8.0%, compounded annually, net of investment expenses - 2.75% inflation.

2. <u>Salary Increase Factors were:</u>

Current salary is assumed to increase at a rate based on the table below per year until retirement.

<u>Service</u>	General Employees	Forensic Professionals Firefighters and Police Officers
Less than 5 years	6.5%	7.5%
5 - 9 years	5.5%	5.5%
10 - 14 years	4.5%	5.5%
15+ years	3.0%	3.5%

3. Assumed Retirement Age was:

Retirement rates were used in accordance with the following tables.

1. For Forensic Professionals, Police Officers and Firefighters:

	Years of Service				
<u>Age</u>	<u>0 - 10</u>	<u> 10 - 15</u>	<u> 15 - 25</u>	<u>25 - 30</u>	<u>30 or more</u>
Under 55	0%	0%	0%	4%	5%
55	0%	10%	15%	40%	50%
56 - 64	0%	10%	15%	15%	20%
65 and above	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2. For General Employees:

	Years of Service				
<u>Age</u>	<u>0 - 10</u>	<u> 10 - 15</u>	<u> 15 - 25</u>	<u>25 - 30</u>	30 or more
Under 55	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%
55	0%	5%	10%	20%	25%
56 - 64	0%	5%	10%	4%	5%
65 and above	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%



- L. Changes Since Previous Valuation (continued)
 - 4. Employee Withdrawal Rates were:
 - 1. Withdrawal rates for male General Employees were used in accordance with the following illustrative example:

Withdrawal Rates per 100 Employees												
	Service											
<u>Age</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10+</u>	
20	32.8	25.4	22.7	18.4	15.8	11.7	11.1	11.1	11.0	10.0	9.8	
25	27.2	18.5	17.2	14.6	12.7	9.7	8.5	8.4	7.7	6.3	6.2	
30	25.8	15.4	14.0	13.2	11.8	8.8	7.8	7.1	6.4	5.5	4.7	
35	25.8	14.3	12.8	12.6	10.9	8.5	7.5	6.8	6.2	5.3	4.2	
40	24.4	12.6	12.0	10.7	9.0	7.4	6.7	6.2	5.8	5.3	3.0	
45	24.4	12.5	11.6	10.3	8.8	6.8	6.5	6.0	5.1	5.1	2.7	
50	23.4	12.2	10.7	9.4	7.9	6.0	5.5	5.3	4.6	4.6	3.0	
55	27.4	12.2	10.7	9.3	7.8	6.8	5.4	5.2	4.4	4.3	4.5	
60	27.4	12.2	10.7	9.3	7.8	6.8	5.4	5.1	4.3	4.2	5.3	
65	27.4	12.2	10.7	9.3	7.8	6.8	5.4	5.1	4.3	4.2	3.7	

2. Withdrawal rates for female General Employees were used in accordance with the following illustrative example:

Withdrawal Rates per 100 Employees												
	Service											
<u>Age</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10+</u>	
20	30.3	25.8	22.1	17.4	15.4	13.5	11.4	11.3	10.5	10.2	11.6	
25	26.6	19.8	17.1	13.0	12.9	10.7	9.7	9.2	7.8	7.1	5.3	
30	25.4	16.9	14.5	11.6	11.3	9.4	8.7	8.1	7.1	6.5	5.4	
35	25.4	15.9	13.5	11.2	10.9	9.0	8.0	7.8	6.8	6.2	4.6	
40	24.4	14.0	12.1	10.0	9.1	7.0	6.5	6.3	6.1	5.0	3.3	
45	24.4	13.9	11.9	9.8	8.8	6.7	6.5	6.1	5.8	4.7	3.0	
50	23.2	13.4	11.0	8.8	8.4	6.2	5.9	5.5	5.5	4.6	3.0	
55	23.2	13.4	11.0	8.7	8.3	6.1	5.8	5.4	5.4	4.5	3.0	
60	23.2	13.4	11.0	8.7	8.3	6.1	5.8	5.4	5.4	4.5	3.0	
65	23.2	13.4	11.0	8.7	8.3	6.1	5.8	5.4	5.4	4.5	3.0	

The withdrawal assumptions are the withdrawal assumptions used in the July 1, 2016 Florida Retirement System (FRS) Actuarial Valuation.



- L. Changes Since Previous Valuation (continued)
 - 4. Employee Withdrawal Rates (continued)
 - 3. Withdrawal rates for male Forensic Professionals, Firefighters and Police Officers were used in accordance with the following illustrative example:

Withdrawal Rates per 100 Employees												
	Service											
<u>Age</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10+</u>	
20	21.4	10.3	8.6	8.4	7.5	5.3	5.2	3.1	2.9	2.6	2.3	
25	20.6	9.8	8.1	7.9	7.0	5.3	5.2	3.1	2.9	2.6	2.3	
30	20.6	9.5	7.7	7.5	6.7	5.3	5.2	3.1	2.9	2.6	2.1	
35	20.6	8.8	7.4	7.2	6.5	5.3	5.1	3.1	2.9	2.6	2.0	
40	20.6	8.0	6.8	6.7	6.0	4.8	4.6	3.1	2.9	2.6	1.9	
45	20.6	7.3	6.0	6.0	5.5	4.3	4.1	3.1	2.9	2.6	1.8	
50	20.6	6.5	5.3	5.3	5.0	3.8	3.6	3.1	2.9	2.6	1.8	
55	20.6	5.8	4.7	4.7	4.6	3.3	3.2	3.1	2.9	2.6	1.8	
60	20.6	5.3	4.7	4.7	4.6	3.3	3.2	3.1	2.9	2.6	1.8	
65	20.6	5.3	4.7	4.7	4.6	3.3	3.2	3.1	2.9	2.6	1.8	

4. Withdrawal rates for female Forensic Professionals, Firefighters and Police Officers were used in accordance with the following illustrative example:

	Withdrawal Rates per 100 Employees											
	Service											
<u>Age</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10+</u>	
20	21.3	15.5	12.3	10.3	9.7	6.1	5.9	5.0	4.2	4.2	1.9	
25	21.3	14.2	11.6	9.8	9.2	6.1	5.9	5.0	4.2	4.2	1.9	
30	21.3	13.2	10.6	9.3	8.7	6.1	5.9	5.0	4.2	4.2	1.7	
35	21.3	12.2	9.6	8.8	8.4	6.1	5.9	5.0	4.2	4.1	1.5	
40	21.3	11.2	8.6	8.3	7.6	6.1	5.9	5.0	4.1	4.1	2.5	
45	21.3	10.2	7.6	7.6	7.0	6.1	5.9	5.0	4.1	4.1	2.5	
50	21.3	9.2	6.6	6.6	6.4	6.1	5.9	5.0	4.1	4.0	1.6	
55	21.3	8.4	5.8	5.6	5.4	5.3	5.1	5.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	
60	21.3	8.4	5.8	5.6	5.4	5.3	5.1	5.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	
65	21.3	8.4	5.8	5.6	5.4	5.3	5.1	5.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	

The withdrawal assumptions are the withdrawal assumptions used in the July 1, 2016 FRS Actuarial Valuation.



SECTION D

GLOSSARY

GLOSSARY

Actuarial Accrued Liability

The difference between the Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits, and the Actuarial Present Value of Future Normal Costs.

Actuarial Assumptions

Assumptions about future plan experience that affect costs or liabilities, such as: mortality, withdrawal, disablement, and retirement; future increases in salary; future rates of investment earnings; future investment and administrative expenses; characteristics of members not specified in the data, such as marital status; characteristics of future members; future elections made by members and other items.

Actuarial Cost Method

A procedure for allocating the Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits between the Actuarial Present Value of Future Normal Costs and the Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Actuarial Equivalent

Of equal Actuarial Present Value, determined as of a given date and based on a given set of Actuarial Assumptions.

Actuarial Present Value

The amount of funds required to provide a payment or series of payments in the future. It is determined by discounting the future payments with an assumed interest rate and with the assumed probability each payment will be made.

Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits

The Actuarial Present Value of amounts which are expected to be paid at various future times to active members, retired members, beneficiaries receiving benefits and inactive, non-retired members entitled to either a refund or a future retirement benefit. Expressed another way, it is the value that would have to be invested on the valuation date so that the amount invested plus investment earnings would provide sufficient assets to pay all projected benefits and expenses when due.

Actuarial Valuation

The determination, as of a valuation date, of the Normal Cost, Actuarial Accrued Liability, Actuarial Value of Assets, and related Actuarial Present Values for a plan. An Actuarial Valuation for a governmental retirement system typically also includes calculations of items needed for compliance with GASB No. 67.

Actuarial Value of Assets

The value of the assets as of a given date, used by the actuary for valuation purposes. This may be the market or fair value of plan assets or a smoothed value in order to reduce the year-to-year volatility of calculated results, such as the funded ratio and the actuarially required contribution.



Amortization Method

A method for determining the Amortization Payment. The most common methods used are level dollar and level percentage of payroll. Under the Level Dollar method, the Amortization Payment is one of a stream of payments, all equal, whose Actuarial Present Value is equal to the UAAL. Under the Level Percentage of Pay method, the Amortization Payment is one of a stream of increasing payments, whose Actuarial Present Value is equal to the UAAL. Under the Level Percentage of Pay method, the stream of payments increases at the rate at which total covered payroll of all active members is assumed to increase.

Amortization Payment

That portion of the plan contribution which is designed to pay interest on and to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Amortization Period

The period used in calculating the Amortization Payment.

Annual Required Contribution

The employer's periodic required contributions, expressed as a dollar amount or a percentage of covered plan compensation. The annual required contribution consists of the Employer Normal Cost and Amortization Payment plus interest adjustment.

Closed Amortization Period

A specific number of years that is reduced by one each year, and declines to zero with the passage of time. For example if the amortization period is initially set at 30 years, it is 29 years at the end of one year, 28 years at the end of two years, etc.

Employer Normal Cost

The portion of the Normal Cost to be paid by the employer. This is equal to the Normal Cost less expected member contributions.

Equivalent Single Amortization Period

For plans that do not establish separate amortization bases (separate components of the UAAL), this is the same as the Amortization Period. For plans that do establish separate amortization bases, this is the period over which the UAAL would be amortized if all amortization bases were combined upon the current UAAL payment.

Experience Gain/Loss

A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of Actuarial Assumptions, during the period between two actuarial valuations. To the extent that actual experience differs from that assumed, Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities emerge which may be larger or smaller than projected. Gains are due to favorable experience, e.g., the assets earn more than projected, salaries do not increase as fast as assumed, members retire later than assumed, etc. Favorable experience means actual results produce actuarial liabilities not as large as projected by the actuarial assumptions. Losses are the result of unfavorable experience, i.e., actual results that produce Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities which are larger than projected.

Funded Ratio

The ratio of the Actuarial Value of Assets to the Actuarial Accrued Liability.



GASB Governmental Accounting Standards Board.

GASB No. 67 and

These are the governmental accounting standards that set the accounting rules for public retirement plans and the employers that sponsor or contribute to them. Statement No. 67 sets the accounting rules for the plans themselves, while Statement No. 68 sets the

accounting rules for the employers that sponsor or contribute to public

retirement plans.

Normal Cost The annual cost assigned, under the Actuarial Cost Method, to the

current plan year.

Open Amortization Period An open amortization period is one which is used to determine the

Amortization Payment but which does not change over time. In other words, if the initial period is set as 30 years, the same 30-year period is used in determining the Amortization Period each year. In theory, if an Open Amortization Period is used to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability, the UAAL will never completely disappear, but will become smaller each year, either as a dollar amount or in relation to

covered payroll.

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued The difference between the Actuarial Accrued Liability and Actuarial

Value of Assets.

Valuation Date

The date as of which the Actuarial Present Value of Future Benefits are

determined. The benefits expected to be paid in the future are

discounted to this date.



Liability